



# **Overview of security problems for CMOS Image Sensors for Automotive: Opportunity for SESIP?**

#### Kota Ideguchi

Cyber Physical Security Research Institute (CPSEC), AIST

(in collaboration with **Shinji Sato, Hirotaka Yoshida** (CPSEC, AIST))

This presentation is based on results obtained from a project, JPNP23013, commissioned by the New Energy and Industrial Technology Development Organization (NEDO).

22/05/2025 GlobalPlatform Cybersecurity Vehicle Forum NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF ADVANCED INDUSTRIAL SCIENCE& TECHNOLOGY



Cyber Physical Security Research Institute (CPSEC), AIST

Our research goal is to promote research on security enhancement technologies, evaluation technologies, and security assurance schemes to realize security in a society where cyber/physical space is highly integrated (**cyber-physical security**), and to contribute to economic development and the realization of solutions to social issues.

- **1. Background**
- 2. Overview of Automotive CMOS Image Sensor (CIS)
- 3. Threats for Automotive CIS
- 4. Security Requirements Specification for Automotive CIS
- 5. Consideration in future
- 6. Conclusion

### 1-1. Background



In AD/ADAS, CMOS image sensors (CIS) are used for perception of environment and traffic sign detection, lane detection, collision avoidance, parking assistance and so on.



From ON Semiconductor Corporation's webpage <a href="https://www.onsemi.com/solutions/automotive/adas">https://www.onsemi.com/solutions/automotive/adas</a>



From OMNIVISION's webpage <https://www.ovt.com/applications/automotive/>

### 1-2. Background



5

CISs are connected to ADAS/AD ECUs and send image data to the ECUs, where the data are processed for perception of environment.



From ON Semiconductor Corporation's webpage <a href="https://www.onsemi.com/solutions/automotive/adas/sensing">https://www.onsemi.com/solutions/automotive/adas/sensing</a>

#### 1-3. Background



6

Cyberattacks against CIS might cause faulty perception which threatens ADAS/AD functionalities.



#### 1-4. Security regulation and standard for vehicles and its components AIST

- Vehicle cybersecurity is regulated by UNR-155.
- Vehicle cybersecurity is engineered with ISO/SAE 21434.
- Automotive CISs would be influenced with the regulation and standard.



# 2-1. Overview of a typical Automotive CIS



8

Incoming light from the environment is processed and its image data is sent to a host computer.





9





#### Examples of threats against assets of Automotive CIS

#	Threat	Description	Example references
1	Tampering image data	Image data is maliciously altered, which might result in faulty perception by a host computer.	[1][2]
2	Disclosing image data	Image data with privacy information is leaked to unauthorized entities.	[3]
3	Availability loss of image data	Image data cannot be accessible nor usable, which might degrade perception accuracy of a host computer.	[4]
4	Tampering incoming light	Incoming light from environment is maliciously manipulated, which might cause degradation of image.	[5]
5	Tampering I2C command data	Maliciously altered I2C commands make CIS in abnormal configuration, which might damage sensors or degrade images.	[6]
6	Spoofing CIS itself	Authenticity of CIS is compromised and counterfeit/unauthorized sensor is connected to a host computer.	-
7	Tampering configuration data	Configuration data (exposure, gain, white balance, etc) is maliciously altered, which might cause quality issue of image.	[7]
	:		÷

# 3-2. Attack example 1 – Man-in-the-Middle attack on a signal line







[2] Oyama *et al.*, "Backdoor Attack on Deep Neural Networks Triggered by Fault Injection Attack on Image Sensor Interface," Sensors, 23(10):4742, 2023,

- Attacker model
  - Physical access to a target vehicle and its internal network cable connected to image data I/F.
- Assumption for CIS
  - Image data sent from CIS don't have integrity check code, such as MAC or signature.
- Attack procedure
  - 1. An attacker accesses to vehicle and CIS, then installs a device which can inject data on the signal line between the CIS and a host computer.
  - 2. The device inject image data on the signal line and the image data (MIPI) sent to the host computer is tampered.
  - 3. Perception of the host computer is affected by tampered image, which might cause faulty perception.

#### **3-3. Attack examples 2 – Blind attack**







[5] Petit et al., "Remote Attacks on Automated Vehicles Sensors Experiments on Camera and LiDAR", Blackhat Europe, 2015,

- Attacker model
  - Attacker can emit light to pixel array of CIS from close range.
- Attack procedure
  - 1. An attacker with a light source approaches the camera module of the target vehicle.
  - 2. The attacker emits light to pixel array.
  - 3. CIS cannot control exposure and gain, then the image becomes white out. Objects in environment are hidden from CIS.

# 3-4. Attack example 3 – replacement to a counterfeit Automotive CIS AIST



- Attacker model
  - Physical access to a target vehicle and its CIS.
- Assumption for CIS
  - Authenticity of CIS is not checked by a host computer.
- Attack procedure
  - 1. An attacker accesses to a CIS, then replace it with a counterfeit CIS.
  - 2. The counterfeit one spoofs an original CIS but has a degraded quality, which causes serious problems of vehicle AD/ADAS functionalities.



- CPSEC would like to make a Security Requirements Specification (SRS) for automotive CIS.
- The SRS consists of security requirements common to several vehicle models and camera models, which would be beneficial to automotive industries.





- Data
  - Image data
    - Image data
    - Meta data of image data
  - Incoming light
  - I2C communication data

I2C command and its reference data: integrity and authenticity shall be protected

- Configuration data
- Security parameters
- Functions
  - Functionality of TOE
    - Functionality of sensing incoming light
    - Functionality of signal processing
    - Functionality of transmission of the image data

# 4-3. Security requirements examples (corresponding SESIP SFRs)



#### Examples of SFRs to mitigate risks of the attack examples.

Man-in-the-Middle attack on a signal line:

- Secure Communication Support
- Secure Communication Enforcement

Blind attack:

• Availability Support?

Replacement to a counterfeit CIS:

- Verification of Platform Identity
- Attestation of Platform Genuineness



- Which part of Automotive CIS is included in TOE of security requirements specification?
  - Is sensor I/F within the scope?
- Assumptions for attacker, TOE and environment?
  - Physical access allowed?
- Assurance level?

#### **6.** Conclusion



- Overview of CMOS Image Sensor for Automotive
- Cybersecurity threats for Automotive CIS
- Security Requirements Specification for Automotive CIS

#### References



- [1] Oyama *et al.*, "Fundamental Study of Adversarial Examples Created by Fault Injection Attack on Image Sensor Interface," AsianHOST'22, 2022,
- [2] Oyama et al., "Backdoor Attack on Deep Neural Networks Triggered by Fault Injection Attack on Image Sensor Interface," Sensors, 23(10):4742, 2023,
- [5] Petit et al., "Remote Attacks on Automated Vehicles Sensors Experiments on Camera and LiDAR", Blackhat Europe, 2015,
- [6] Gomez-Bravo et al., "Hardware Attacks on Mobile Robots: I2C Clock Attacking," Robot 2015,
- [7] Khelif et al., "Non-invasive I2C Hardware Trojan Attack Vector," 2021 IEEE International Symposium on Defect and Fault Tolerance in VLSI and Nanotechnology Systems (DFT), pp.1-6, 2021.