## **REALIZING SECURE BOOT ON AUTOMOTIVE** DEVICES

**DECEMBER 04, 2024** PHILIP LAPCZYNSKI **RENESAS ELECTRONICS CORPORATION** 



0101.000

10001000101000001 0001



## **A GROWING CHALLENGE**

#### Million Lines of Code (MLoC) in Vehicles



## WHY SECURE BOOT?

#### **Security Goal:**

- Detect and prevent unauthorized code execution on boot
- Establish device root of trust

#### **Security Solution:**

- Check code integrity and authenticity on startup
  - Protects the device against unauthorized software execution and tampering
  - Support loading executable code encrypted at rest





## **SECURE BOOT INTRODUCTION - HIGH LEVEL FLOW**



If secure boot fails sanctions could include:

- Disallowing access to cryptographic keys or peripherals,
- Resetting the CPU
- Executing a fallback or device recovery program.

## **SECURE BOOT INTRODUCTION**

# Simple, right?



## **SECURE BOOT OVERVIEW**





## **SECURE BOOT OVERVIEW – WHAT IT LOOKS LIKE IN THEORY**



### **Basic Secure Boot Example**



## **SECURE BOOT OVERVIEW – WHAT IT LOOKS LIKE IN PRACTICE**



Example secure boot on multicore SoC



## **SECURE BOOT OVERVIEW – HW ROOT OF TRUST PROPERTIES (1/2)**

#### 1. Immutability

- **Definition:** The RoT must be unchangeable after manufacturing or initial configuration.
- Why It Matters: Prevents attackers from modifying the RoT to compromise the system.

#### 2. Minimal scope

- **Definition:** The RoT should be small, containing only the essential code or functionality required for its role.
- Why It Matters: Reduces the attack surface and makes security verification more feasible.

#### 3. Integrity/Authenticity

- **Definition:** The RoT must ensure that the code and data it protects is authentic and has not been altered.
- Why It Matters: Preserves trust in the system's boot process and secure operations.

#### 4. Confidentiality

- **Definition:** The RoT must safeguard sensitive data, such as cryptographic keys, from unauthorized access.
- Why It Matters: Prevents data leaks that could compromise the trust chain.



## **SECURE BOOT OVERVIEW – HW ROOT OF TRUST PROPERTIES (2/2)**

#### 5. Tamper Resistance

- **Definition:** The RoT must be resistant to physical, sidechannel, and software-based attacks.
- Why It Matters: Protects against sophisticated adversaries attempting to extract keys or modify RoT.

#### 6. Lifecycle Management

- Definition: The RoT must support secure updates and revocation mechanisms when vulnerabilities are discovered.
- Why It Matters: Allows the system to adapt to new threats without compromising security.

#### 7. Non-Circumventable

- **Definition:** The RoT must be designed so that it cannot be bypassed or overridden.
- Why It Matters: Guarantees that all processes depend on the RoT for establishing trust.

#### 8. Anti-Rollback Protection

- **Definition:** The RoT must prevent the system from reverting to older, potentially vulnerable software versions.
- Why It Matters: Ensures system security is maintained even after updates.



## **SECURE BOOT OVERVIEW – TECHNOLOGIES USED**

Often more than one of these technologies are chosen to establish the root of trust





## **SECURE BOOT OVERVIEW – ESTABLISHING A ROOT OF TRUST (1/3)**

#### **Roots of Trust**

- Immutable code established 'ground truth'
- Anchors boot integrity to hardware

#### 1. Mask ROM

- **Description:** Read-only memory programmed into the chip at design time and used to store boot code or cryptographic functions.
- Advantages:
  - Highly tamper-resistant.
  - Ideal for storing trusted, unchangeable code.
- **Challenges:** Not updatable, so any vulnerabilities in the code are permanent. Requires a respin of the device if vulnerably discovered.

#### 2. eFuses

- **Description:** Electrically programmable fuses used to store unique identifiers, cryptographic keys, or boot mode configurations.
- Advantages:
  - Can permanently store security-critical information.
  - Allows selective configuration of security features.
- **Challenges:** Irreversible programming and potential susceptibility to physical attacks.



## **SECURE BOOT OVERVIEW – ESTABLISHING A ROOT OF TRUST (2/3)**

#### 3. One-Time Programmable (OTP) Memory

- **Description:** Non-volatile storage medium that can only be written once.
- Advantages:
  - Permanently stores sensitive data.
  - Resistant to tampering since the data cannot be altered after initial programming.
  - Doesn't require a device respin.
- **Challenges:** May requires secure programming at manufacturing.

#### 4. Physical Unclonable Functions (PUFs)

- **Description:** Uses inherent physical variations in semiconductor manufacturing to generate unique, device-specific cryptographic keys.
- Advantages:
  - Keys are derived only when needed and not stored permanently, reducing attack surfaces.
  - Resistant to cloning and tampering.
- **Challenges:** Requires sophisticated design and calibration.

## **SECURE BOOT OVERVIEW – ESTABLISHING A ROOT OF TRUST (3/3)**

#### 5. Cryptographic Accelerators

- **Description:** Hardware modules designed to perform cryptographic operations (e.g., encryption, decryption, hashing, and signature verification) efficiently, enhancing the performance and security of secure boot processes.
- Advantages:
  - Offloads computationally intensive tasks from the CPU, improving boot time and energy efficiency.
  - Provides strong protection against software-based attacks by isolating cryptographic operations in dedicated hardware.
- **Challenges:** Increases hardware complexity and cost and limited to the algorithms chosen at design time.

## SECURE BOOT CHALLENGES







# Why is this

## difficult?



## **SECURE BOOT CHALLENGES – MANY HARDWARE VARIATIONS**

Examples of different automotive hardware architectures. Sometime multiple types on same ECU.



## **SECURE BOOT CHALLENGES – BALANCING REQUIREMENTS**





## **SECURE BOOT CHALLENGES – ATTACK SURFACE**



![](_page_19_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_20_Figure_1.jpeg)

Timing side-channel allows recovery of decryption key

![](_page_21_Figure_1.jpeg)

Fault injection allows bypass of secure boot

![](_page_22_Figure_1.jpeg)

Buffer overflow allows bypass of secure boot

![](_page_22_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_23_Figure_1.jpeg)

Design allows for downgrade from RSA to SHA1

![](_page_24_Picture_0.jpeg)

## **BUT FROM BIRDS EYE... (IN ONE VEHICLE)**

![](_page_25_Figure_1.jpeg)

There is no rigorous regulation to specify

- Concrete (fixed) API
- Which cryptographic algorithm(s) to be used (more complex in PQC migration era)

![](_page_25_Picture_5.jpeg)

## HOW CAN GLOBAL PLATFORM ADDRESS THESE ISSUES?

- Designate secure boot as critical to Root of Trust function
- Expand the Root of Trust requirements to more explicitly define secure boot requirements
  - Cryptographic primitives
  - Immutable code requirements
  - Key management
- Define the threat model and proposed mitigations
- Address threats like fault injection, replay attacks, tampering
- Recommend mitigations or at least requirements around mitigations
- Define boot policies and requirements around reporting
- **Define performance and testing requirements** around secure boot

![](_page_26_Picture_11.jpeg)

## **KEY TAKEAWAYS**

![](_page_27_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_27_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_28_Picture_0.jpeg)

#### • Importance of Secure Boot:

Detect and prevent unauthorized code execution during the boot process, establishing a device root of trust.

#### • Root of Trust Properties:

Must include immutability, minimal scope, integrity/authenticity, confidentiality, tamper resistance, lifecycle management, non-circumventable, and anti-rollback protection.

#### • Challenges and Solutions:

Address key security, rollback attacks, and performance requirements with mitigations like boot policies and secure update mechanisms.

#### GlobalPlatform's Role:

Could GlobalPlatform standardize secure boot by defining requirements, threat models, and mitigations, ensuring consistent implementation across platforms?

![](_page_29_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_30_Picture_0.jpeg)

• 2024-12-03: Initial release

![](_page_30_Picture_2.jpeg)